G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
~~191~~.

(Muzrai)

File No. 386

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Complaints by the people of Mallur in connection with Sri Sringeri Guru's visit to the Appramey temple at Mallur, Chennapatna T

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To The Dy Comr.
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 11th June /92

Despatched 11th Camp Mysore

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 289
No. 18

Transferred No 30 of 22/6/92

Has the honor to forward herewith, a telegram, in original, from one Venkatapathienger & other inhabitants of Mallur, Chennapatna Sub-Taluk, Complaining that the Priest of the Sri Sringeri Matt was allowed by the Deputy Amildar to perform worship in Aprameyaswami Temple contrary to the mamool and to request that enquiries may be instituted into the matter and submit a full report upon the

2

occurrences therein alleged ~~to have taken~~ and as to the previous practice as this particular temple in the matter of worship by the Sringeri Swamy.

2. A very early reply is requested

3. The Deputy Commissioner is also requested to forward to this Office all old references that may be available in his Office relating to the subject.

B O

as
Secretary.
C.V.

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From ✓

To The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 11th Camp Mysore

Despatched 11th June/92

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 287
No. 18

Has the honor to forward herewith, a telegram in original from one Vencat-Pathiengar and others in-habitants of Mallur, Channapatna Sub-Taluk, complaining ~~against the Dy Amildar of Channapatna for having~~ the ~~to~~ Priest of the ~~allowed His Holiness~~ Sree Sringeri ~~Swami~~ Mutt. was allowed ~~to enter~~ & the Dy amildar to perform worship ~~Mutts~~ in the Aprayameya Swami Temple ~~seated in a Palanquin and worship-ing God against the mamool~~ Contrary to the mamool

and to request that ~~[illegible]~~
enquiries may be institu[ted]
into the matter ~~[illegible]~~
~~and~~ of the report ~~made on the~~
upon occurrences therein alleged
and as to the previous ~~custom~~ practice
as regards this particular
Temple in the matter of worship
by the Sringeri Swami.

~~2. What is the ~~[illegible]~~ manual observed hitherto on such occurrences?~~

~~3 What is the cause of the Puja being stopped in the Temple?~~

~~4 When did the Guru: visit [illegible] last?~~

B.O.

2 ~~[illegible]~~ is requested

3 The Dy. Commr is ~~further~~ also requested to forward to this Office ~~all available~~ ~~all [illegible]~~ the Old references that may be available in his office relating to the subject. ~~connected with the case~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~the [illegible] thereof~~ ~~[illegible] the matter~~

[illegible]

N.

Head clerk.

Find out if any old references ~~[illegible]~~ discussed in the Vernacular records of our office.

24/6/92

There is [illegible] on any point.

eneral Serial No. 30.)

1892. 31

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.
Muzrai Department.

Dated 22nd } June 1892
Despatched 23rd }
Received

5/8/92

Enclosures No 1 file.

General No.
D No. 308

In reply to Muzrai Secy's No. 289/18 dated 11th Instant.

SUBJECT

Submits report in regard to the Complaint of the Priest of Sri Sringeri Mutt being allowed to perform worship in Aprameyaswami Temple contrary to mamool.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Enclos/file GOVERNMENT OF

Commissioners
Bangalore District
Dated 22nd June 1892

To

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Sir,

In reference to your No. $\frac{289}{18}$ dated 11th Instant, regarding complaint of the Priest of Sri Sringeri Matt being allowed to perform worship in Aprameyaswami Temple, contrary to mamool, I have the honor to report, that on receipt of your letter, I sent my 1st Assistant, Mr. Raghavacharlu, to Mallur for a local enquiry. He has examined the complainants, some of the principal Iyengars, the Archaka of the Temple, a local Astrologer and the Deputy Amildar, whose Statements are enclosed for the information of the Government. How the Sringeri Swami happened to go to the Mallur

Temple and what he did at it is fully detailed in the Statement of the Archaka, which appears to be substantially true. The objection now raised by the Vaishnavite worshippers of this Vaishnavite Temple is, that contrary to *mamool*, the Sami entered the compound and made the *pradakshana* of the inner Temple in a palanquin; that he walked some distance with *Sandals* on; that he performed the *Archana* himself, and that he excluded the servants while he was devotionally engaged. This is said to be contrary to the custom and it is urged that the expiation for this infringement of the customary rule is the performance of *Samprokshanam*. I understand that no objection was made to Mr. Rice walking within the *prakara* in his boots. Considering therefore that the Sami visited the Temple at the earnest request of the lieve that the Swami did not intend any offence or infringement of the custom. It is to be noted, that he was not informed that what he wanted to do was contrary to Mamool. I believe that this affair would not have been heard of, at all, but for some indiscretion on the part of the local Officials. If the Deputy Amildar had not ordered the exclusion of the worshippers and if respectable residents, of the position of a pensioned Amildar, had not been roughly handled by the Police, this much ado about nothing would not have been made. When I shall in kind language explain to the aggrieved party, that the conduct of the local Officials has met with the disapproval of the Government, they will I believe be perfectly satisfied. On hearing from the Government, I shall pay a flying visit to the village and shall quell this storm in a tea pot. If necessary, I shall order some purification ceremony.

2 - I regret I have not been able to find any record relating to the Mamool. I do not think any more enquiry is necessary or desirable.

I return the telegram.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

Mir Shujaat Ali
23 June
Deputy Commissioner

Indt

General Serial No.

MUZRAI BRANCH

18 2 9/3
4

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th Muzrai Deptt
Despatched July 1892.
Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No.
D No 22.

In continuation of this Office No. 308 of the 22nd Ultimo, sub-mitting report in the matter of the Guru of the Sringere Matt having performed Pooja in the Mallur Temple, Chennapatna Sub Taluk contrary to mamool, has the honor to forward herewith, for orders Deputy Amildar's urzee No. 105 dated 29th Idem, begs to notice that some the Temple servants have withheld attending the Temple since.

M Laing Meason

fr Dy Commissioner

[illegible]

Copy

[illegible]

[illegible]

स० शके १४५२ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

27/6/12

Write to Govt. for orders in ref of my letter on the subject

11/7/12

VENUE DEPARTMENT

२९६१२

10

Submitted

[illegible]

Letter No. 308 and Docket No. 22 dated respectively 22nd June and 4th July 1892, from the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting on the complaint preferred by the people of Mallur in connection with the Sringeri Guru's visit to the Temple of Appramey[a] Swami at Mallur, Channapatna Sub-Taluk.

Order thereon.

The Guru appears to have visited the Temple at the earnest request of some of the residents of Mallur, and made the ~~usual~~ offerings of flower &c. to the Idol, usual on such an occasion — The periodical Utsavams in the temple shd. not ~~therefore be performed in the same way~~ be allowed to be disturbed by this occurce; ~~and~~ it is understood that ~~From the deposition given by the Archaka, it does not appear that the Guru actually touched the idol, and There is no reason therefore why the performance of the periodical Utsavams & the daily was put a stop to while~~ the daily worship is being regularly conducted.

The Dy. [illegible]

28/7/92

No. 480/31 of 5.8.92/6.

Ent file

was ~~no doubt, acted very indiscreetly in having~~ in needlessly ordering the exclusion of some of the residents of the place from the Temple on the occasion ~~This act of this appears to have caused excitation to the people.~~

~~3 Whether Matadhipathees can enter the Temple in a Palanquin and put on Sandals while walking into the inner part of the Temple are the questions now under the consideration of the Government and a separate order will be issued shortly on the Subject~~

3. The question suggested by the Depy Commr re at as to whether the temple parts of [illegible] persons ~~to~~ ~~[illegible]~~ can ~~[illegible]~~ go without removing shoes or a Ma[illegible] Shikapathi without alighting from Palanquin or removing his Sandals [illegible] is one on which it is [illegible] practice already ~~[illegible]~~ few [illegible] however [illegible] ~~these~~ [illegible] due [illegible]

4 The Dy Commr will as proposed by him, visit the village of Mallur and explain to the people the above orders of Government and instruct the Temple servants to perform the usual Utcharams as heretofore

91/70

To The Dy Commr
Bangalore

als
whereby
definite
regis to: ~~[illegible]~~
~~will issue~~
s
n Rules are
considered advisable
ill be issued after
nquiry & Consideration

Ky. 28/7/92

Note.
The Mazgis will
please draw up a Draft
of rules reqd., consult
the Mutts (~~Chief~~) the
Parkala & Sringeri,
& then the rules can
be definitely issued
for general guidance
Ky
28/7

Mutts have been
addressed on the subject
when
Any of the replies have been
received as
15/8/92.

17

(General Serial No. 30.)

From 1892

To The Dy. Commr.
Bangalore

Dated 5

Despatched 6 Aug: 92

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 480 R
No. 31 R

Directing that the Periodi-
-cal utsavamas in the
temple of Apprameya-
-Swami at Malur,
Chennapatna Sub-Taluk
should not be allowed
to be disturbed by this
occurance (Sringeri
Gurus's visit to the temple